

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,335	07/21/2005	Anwa Khan	37319	2580
116 PEARNE & G	7590 09/05/2007 ORDON LLP		EXAMINER	
1801 EAST 9T			37319 2580 EXAMINER OSANU, FELIX C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3609 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND	, ОН 44114-3108		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
022 (22, 11 (2	,		3609	
				:
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/520,335	KHAN, ANWA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Felix Osanu	3609					
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ary period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>1/5/05</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	·					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyate correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/5/05.	-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 					

Application/Control Number: 10/520,335

Art Unit: 3609

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention. In claim 5, the phrase "as defined above" renders the claim indefinite because it is

unclear whether the claim is dependent on claims1, 2 or 3 or if it is an independent claim

thereby rendering the scope of claim 5 unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

For examining purposes, it is viewed that claim 5 is a dependent claim of claim 1 and

also an independent claim. Consequently, claim 5 is further rejected as in paragraphs

4 & 5 below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4 & 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zinn (U.S.

3611653). For Claim 1, Zinn shows stud for wall structure comprising a central stud member

having opposed faces and an acoustic support member mounted on each face of the central

stud and outer stud members on the outer side of the acoustic member (figures 1-4, claim 1

Art Unit: 3609

& lines 1-49). For claim 4, Zinn shows acoustic support member seated in recess in the face of the central stud (figure 2 & column 4, line 20-40).

Page 3

Claim 5 (dependent on claim 1), Zinn teaches a wall structure comprising a plurality of spaced apart wall studs with wall sheeting (board) connecting each side of the adjacent wall studs and insulating material between the wall sheeting (figure 1 & column 4, lines 41-15 and claims 6).

5. If Claim 5 is an independent claim, Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacKinnon, et al (U.S. 34471592). MacKinnon, et al teaches a wall structure comprising a plurality of spaced apart wall studs with wall sheeting connecting each side of the adjacent wall studs and insulating material between the wall sheeting (figures 2, 3 & column 2, lines 18-25 & claim 11d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zinn (U.S. 3611653) in view of Fortin (U.S. 4023323). Zinn shows stud for wall structure comprising elements as described in paragraph 4 above but does not show acoustic member with spaced apart arms. Fortin discloses a wall structure comprising acoustic support having arms terminating in inwardly directed flanges (figures 1, 5, 6, 8 & claim 1). It would have been

Art Unit: 3609

obvious and well within the level of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the structure of Zinn to include arms terminating in inward flanges structure of Fourtin in order to secure the arms further in the studs.

8. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zinn (U.S. 3611653) in view of Fortin (U.S. 4023323) as applied to claim 2 in paragraph 7 above, and further in view of MacKinnon, et al (U.S. 4471592). Zinn and Fortin teach structures as disclosed above. However, neither Zinn nor Fortin disclose outer stud member having enlarged head located in recess and held by flanges. The patent to MacKinnon discloses such a configuration as shown in figures 2 and 3. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the combined stud wall structure of Zinn and Fortin with an outer stud member with enlarged head of MacKinnon. The suggestion /motivation for doing so would have been to provide additional alignment of the stud members.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Horn, et al (U.S. 5787651), Zinn (U.S. 3324625), Becker (U.S. 3834096 & 3990202), O'Brien (U.S. 4437282), Murphy (U.S. 4756134), Glockenstein (U.S. 4881352), Boyer (U.S. 5609006), Gelin (U.S. 5787651), Boyer et al (U.S. 6412249).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felix Osanu whose telephone number is 571-270-3659. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH & alt. F (8AM - 5PM) EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,335

Art Unit: 3609

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David V. Bruce can be reached on 571-272-2487. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Felix Osanu Examiner Art Unit 3609

DAVID BRUCE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

FO